

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/033,127	10/22/2001		Wolfgang Schonberger	A-2986	7101	
24131	7590	08/21/2006		EXAMINER		
LERNER G	REENB	ERG STEMER L	HINZE, LEO T			
P O BOX 24	80					
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2854		

DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/033,127	SCHONBERGER, WC	SCHONBERGER, WOLFGANG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leo T. Hinze	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commu. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 J</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second seco</li></ul>	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	• •	erits is			
Disposition of Claims	ex parte quayre, 1900 c.	5. 11, 400 0.0. 210.				
· _						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-5,7,10 and 12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-5,7,10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examino	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	<del>-</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  ts have been received in a  prity documents have bee  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/033,127 Page 2

Art Unit: 2854

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 2-5, 7, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Regarding claims 10 and 12, it is not clear how the roller upon which the metering element acts can be a roller that interacts with both an ink form roller and a printing form roller. To expedite prosecution, the examiner will interpret the claims as reading (starting in line 4) "a roller, said roller being one of an ink form roller and a roller operatively engaging with an ink form roller, said ink form roller rolling on said printing form cylinder during a printing operation".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chase, US 2,986,088 (hereafter Chase) in view of Dini, US 3,964,386 (hereafter Dini) and Konrad et al., US 2002/0014171 A1 (hereafter Konrad).

Art Unit: 2854

## b. Regarding claim 10 and 12:

Chase teaches a printing press, comprising a printing form cylinder (11, Fig. 1), a zone-less inking unit ("ink film is fed at a constant thickness, column by column," col. 2, 11. 31-32) including an inkmetering device having a single metering element (25, Fig. 1) operatively engaging with a roller (23, Fig. 1), said roller being a roller operatively engaging with an ink form roller (24, Fig. 1), said roller rolling on said ink form roller during a printing operation, said ink-metering device producing an ink pattern being even over a print width of said roller ("ink film is fed at a constant thickness, column by column," col. 2, ll. 31-32), a plurality of glazing rollers (41-45, Fig. 1) disposed downline from said single metering element along a peripheral line of said roller, each of said glazing rollers being in rolling contact exclusively with said roller.

Chase does not teach an oscillation device assigned to said single metering element for mounting said metering element so that it is oscillatable at a frequency within a range of 200 Hz to 10 kHz between an engaging position and a spaced-away position of said single metering element in which said single metering element is lifted to an outlet height of at least 20 micrometers and less than 40 micrometers from said roller; and said glazing rollers having one of a rubber-elastic peripheral surface and an elastomeric peripheral surface.

Dini teaches a method and apparatus for removing surplus ink on printing cylinders, including an oscillation device assigned to said single metering element (4, 5, 6, Fig. 1) for mounting said metering element so that it is oscillatable at a frequency within a range of 200 Hz to 10 kHz ("5 to 200 kHz," col. 2, l. 46) between an engaging position and a spaced-away position of said single metering element in which said single metering element is lifted to an outlet height of at least 20 micrometers and less

Art Unit: 2854

than 40 micrometers from said roller ("5 to 30µ," col. 2, l. 53; roller 12, Fig. 4). Dini teaches that such an arrangement is advantageous for controlling the thickness of a liquid layer applied to a surface (col. 4, ll. 62-65) and for eliminating inconsistency of tone reproduction of printings (col. 1, ll. 45-46).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chase to include with the metering device an oscillation device that it is oscillatable at a frequency within a range of 200 Hz to 10 kHz between an engaging position and a spaced-away position of said single metering element in which said single metering element is lifted to an outlet height of at least 20 micrometers and less than 40 micrometers from said roller, because Dini teaches that such an oscillatable metering element is advantageous for controlling the thickness of a liquid layer applied to a surface and for eliminating inconsistency of tone reproduction of printings.

Konrad teaches a short inking unit (Fig. 5) with glazing rollers (5, 7, Fig. 5) designed to smooth the ink, with a silicone rubber covering that prevents the ink from adhereing to the glazing rolls and consequently from splitting (¶ 50).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chase by using a silicone rubber covering on the glazing rolls, because Konrad teaches that this would prevent the ink from splitting onto the glazing rollers.

c. Regarding claim 2, the combination of Konrad and Dini teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection of claim 10 above. Dini, as properly combined with Konrad above, also teaches said roller has a radial direction (12, Fig. 4); and said oscillation device has a guide guiding said single metering element in an oscillation direction (A, Fig. 4) deviation in a range from 0° to 20° in said radial direction of said roller (α, Fig. 4).

d. Regarding claim 3, the combination of Konrad and Dini teaches all that is claimed as discussed in

the rejection of claim 10 above. Dini, as properly combined with Konrad above, also teaches wherein

said oscillation device has an electromagnetic oscillation drive ("electromagnetic," col. 3, 1. 19)

drivingly connected to said single metering element.

e. Regarding claim 5, the combination of Konrad and Dini teaches all that is claimed as discussed in

the rejection of claim 10 above. Dini, as properly combined with Konrad above, also teaches wherein

said single metering element is a metering blade having a working region terminating in a cutting edge,

said working region of said metering blade having a cross-section thickness which remains constant

("may in cross-section be square," col. 3, l. 49).

f. Regarding claim 7, the combination of Konrad and Dini teaches all that is claimed as discussed in

the rejection of claim 10 above. Konrad also teaches an ink feeding device (1, Fig. 1) disposed upline

of said metering element alongside a peripheral line of said roller.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chase in view of Dini and

Konrad as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Jeschke et al., US 4,089,264 (hereafter

Jeschke).

The combination of Chase, Dini and Konrad teaches all that is claimed as discussed in the rejection

of claim 10 above.

The combination of Chase, Dini and Konrad does not teach wherein said oscillation device has a

spring for setting said single metering element against said roller.

Jeschke teaches an electromagnetically actuated oscillating element (6, Fig. 1) that is set against a

roller (2, Fig. 1) by a spring (15, Fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2854

oscillation mechanism had otherwise failed.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Chase to include a spring for setting said single metering element against said roller as taught by Jeschke, because a person having ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a spring would cause the default position of the metering element to be one of contact with the roller, which would advantageously prevent wasting ink in the event that the machine was operating but the

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 14 June 2006 with respect to claims 2-5, 7, 10 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,127 Page 7

Art Unit: 2854

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Leo T. Hinze whose telephone number is (571) 272-2167. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-

786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leo T. Hinze Patent Examiner AU 2854 14 August 2006

Daniel J. Colilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854

I J. Colle